UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ADAM K. SACHAROFF MUCH SHELIST FREED DENENBERG AMENT&RUBENSTEIN, PC 191 N. WACKER DRIVE

SUITE 1800 CHICAGO IL 60606-1615 **COPY MAILED**

OCT 0 5 2005

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of

Steven Rehkemper

Application No. 10/781,960

Filed: February 20, 2004

Attorney Docket No. 4004013.0096

DECISION ON PETITION

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.137(f), filed August 19, 2005, which is being treated as a petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) to revive the present nonprovisional application for failure to timely notify the U.S. Patent and Trademark (USPTO) of the filing of an application in a foreign country, or under a multinational treaty that requires publication of applications eighteen months after filing. See 37 CFR 1.137(f).

The petition is **GRANTED**.

Petitioner states that the present nonprovisional application is the subject of an application filed in an eighteen-month publication country on February 10, 2005. However, the USPTO was unintentionally not notified of this filing within forty-five days subsequent to the filing of the subject application in an eighteen-month publication country. Accordingly, this application became abandoned pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 122(b)(2)(B)(iii) and 37 CFR 1.213(c) for failure to timely notify the Office of the filing of an application in a foreign country or under a multilateral international agreement that requires publication of applications eighteen months after filing.

A petition to revive an application abandoned pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 122(b)(2)(B)(iii) for failure to notify the USPTO of a foreign filing must be accompanied by:

- (1) the required reply, which is met by the notification of such filing in a foreign country or under a multinational treaty;
- (2) the petition fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(m); and
- (3) a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date of the reply until the filing of a grantable petition was unintentional.

The present petition has been found to be in compliance with 37 CFR 1.137(b). Accordingly, the failure to timely notify the USPTO of a foreign or international filing within forty-five days after the date of filing of such foreign or international application as provided by 35 U.S.C. 122(b)(2)(B)(iii) and 37 CFR 1.213(c) is accepted as having been unintentionally delayed.

The previous Request and Certification under 35 U.S.C. 122(b)(2)(B)(i) has been rescinded. A Communication Regarding Rescission of Nonpublication Request and/or Notice of Foreign Filing, which sets forth the projected publication date of January 12, 2006, accompanies this decision on petition.

The \$750.00 petition fee will be charged to petitioner's Deposit Account as requested.

This matter is being referred to Technology Center Art Unit 1744.

Any inquiries concerning this decision may be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3211.

Christina Tartera Donnell Senior Petitions Attorney

Christina Y. Donnell

Office of Petitions

Enclosure: Communication Regarding Rescission of Nonpublication Request and/or Notice of Foreign Filing